Red Cross Building, Near Rose Garden, Madhya Marg, Sector 16, Chandigarh. Ph: 0172-2864111, Visit us @ www.infocommpunjab.com, Email:psic21@punjabmail.gov.in



FINAL ORDER

Devinder Kumar Sharma, New Abadi, Inside Alhora Gate, Near Chimbiyawala, Gurdwara, Opposite Pritam Studio, Nabha, District Patiala.

Versus

Public Information officer, o/o Senior Superintendent of Police, Patiala. First Appellate Authority, Inspector General of Police, Patiala Range, Patiala.

Appeal Case No. 4471 of 2021 (Video Conference Proceedings)

PRESENT: (Appellant) Absent ASI Hakam Singh (for the Respondent) 62399-82833

ORDER:

(To be read in continuity with earlier orders on 29.4.2022)

- 1. The RTI application is dated 11.5.2021 vide which the appellant has sought information regarding application No. 301/5P/SDSK/ dt. 29.8.2020 submitted by Baljinder Kaur w/o Shamsher Singh against the appellant, as enumerated in his RTI application. First Appeal was filed with the First Appellate Authority (FAA) on 14.6.2021 and Second Appeal was filed in the Commission on 30.9.2021 under Section 19 of the Right to Information Act, 2005. The case was last heard on 29.4.2022.
- 2. The appellant is absent. The respondent PIO, represented by ASI Hakam Singh, has made a written submission to the Commission vide Letter No. 1962 Dated 5.8.2022, along with a report from the DSP, Nabha, and the original complaint cited in the RTI application. At the last hearing on 29.4.2022, the appellant was handed over the said information and was asked to list any deficiency in writing. The appellant has not communicated anything since.
- 3. This Appeal Case is accordingly **Disposed of**.

Sd/(ASIT JOLLY)
State Information Commissioner, Punjab.

Red Cross Building, Near Rose Garden, Madhya Marg, Sector 16, Chandigarh. Ph: 0172-2864111, Visit us @ www.infocommpunjab.com, Email:psic21@punjabmail.gov.in



FINAL ORDER

Shamsher Singh, S/o Late Jogi Ram, Village Roni Chugia (Choti Roni), Nabha Road, Patiala.

Versus

Public Information officer,
o/o Station House Officer,
Police Station Pasiana, Patiala.
Public Information officer,
o/o Station House Officer,
Police Station Bakhshiwala, Patiala.
Public Information officer,
o/o Senior Superintendent of Police,
Patiala.
First Appellate Authority,
Inspector General of Police,
Patiala Range, Patiala.

Appeal Case No. 4565 of 2021 (Video Conference Proceedings)

PRESENT:

(Appellant) Absent
ASI Hakam Singh (for the Respondent) 62399-82833
ASI Balkar Singh from Police Station Pasiana (for the Respondent) 87270-00414
ASI Baljinder Singh (for the Respondent)

ORDER:

(To be read in continuity with earlier orders on 29.4.2022)

- 1. The RTI application is dated **4.6.2021** vide which the appellant has sought information regarding the action against Abhinash Chander in FIR No.194 Dated 26.12.2014 (registered at PS Pasiana, now PS Bakshiwala); and the challan filed in said FIR, as enumerated in his RTI application. First Appeal was filed with the First Appellate Authority (FAA) on **3.7.2021** and Second Appeal was filed in the Commission on **6.10.2021** under Section 19 of the Right to Information Act, 2005. The case was last heard on **29.4.2022**.
- 2. The appellant is absent but has informed the commission of his inability to attend this hearing. The respondent PIO, represented by ASI Balkar Singh from Police Station Pasiana and ASI Hakam Singh from the office of SSP, Patiala, submitted that a challan has already been filed in the concerned court with regard to FIR No. 194 Dated 26.12.2014, registered at Police Station Pasiana.

Contd...2

Red Cross Building, Near Rose Garden, Madhya Marg, Sector 16, Chandigarh. Ph: 0172-2864111, Visit us @ www.infocommpunjab.com, Email:psic21@punjabmail.gov.in



Appeal Case No. 4565 of 2021 (Video Conference Proceedings)

- 3. At the last hearing on 29.4.2022, the SHO-cum-PIO Police Station Pasiana was directed to submit a pointwise reply to this RTI application. The respondent PIO has failed to comply. The PIO-cum-SHO, Police Station Pasiana is given one final opportunity to submit a pointwise reply to the RTI application giving the present status of the FIR along with the details of when the Challan was filed and name of the court etc. This is to be sent to the appellant by registered post and a copy of the same is to be sent to the Commission.
- 4. This Appeal Case is accordingly **Disposed of**.

Sd/(ASIT JOLLY)
State Information Commissioner, Punjab.

Red Cross Building, Near Rose Garden, Madhya Marg, Sector 16, Chandigarh. Ph: 0172-2864111, Visit us @ www.infocommpunjab.com, Email:psic21@punjabmail.gov.in



FINAL ORDER

Jasbir Singh, S/o Harbans Singh, Village Jalal Khera, PO Sular, Patiala.

Versus

Public Information officer, o/o Station House Officer, Police Station Pasiana, Patiala. First Appellate Authority, o/o Senior Superintendent of Police, Patiala.

Appeal Case No. 5024 of 2021 (Video Conference Proceedings)

PRESENT:

Jasbir Singh (Appellant) 98153-97246 ASI Hakam Singh (for the Respondent) 62399-82833 ASI Balkar Singh from Police Station Pasiana (for the Respondent) 87270-00414

ORDER:

(To be read in continuity with earlier orders on **28.4.2022**)

- 1. The RTI application is dated **30.7.2021** vide which the appellant has sought information <u>regarding his complaint to SSP Patiala on 7.8.2020</u>, as enumerated in his RTI application. First Appeal was filed with the First Appellate Authority (FAA) on **16.7.2021** and Second Appeal was filed in the Commission on **1.10.2021** under Section 19 of the Right to Information Act, 2005. The case was last heard on **28.4.2022**.
- 2. Both parties are present. The respondent PIO, represented by ASI Balkar Singh from Police Station Pasiana and ASI Hakam Singh from the office of SSP, Patiala, are present. At the last hearing on 28.4.2022, the PIO-cum-SHO, Police Station Pasiana, was issued a Show Cause Notice. Following deliberations at todays Hearing the PIO-cum-SHO PS Pasiana was directed to appear before this Bench in person.
- 3. As directed, the PIO-cum-SHO PS Pasiana, Sh Ankurdeep Singh appeared before this Bench in the forenoon of 18.8.2022. He has submitted a written reply to the RTI application along with Two sets of duly attested copies of the requested information as available in the records. The Commission has examined said reply and information in light of the queries enumerated in the RTI application and is of the view that this adequately addresses the RTI application. The Appeal is accordingly **Disposed of**.

Sd/(ASIT JOLLY)
State Information Commissioner, Punjab.

Red Cross Building, Near Rose Garden, Madhya Marg, Sector 16, Chandigarh. Ph: 0172-2864111, Visit us @ www.infocommpunjab.com, Email:psic21@punjabmail.gov.in



FINAL ORDER

Lal Singh, S/o Dalip Singh, Village Bathoi Kalan, PO Dakala, District Patiala.

Versus

Public Information officer, o/o Senior Superintendent of Police, Patiala. First Appellate Authority, o/o Inspector General of Police, Patiala Range, Patiala.

Appeal Case No. 5033 of 2021 (Video Conference Proceedings)

PRESENT: (Appellant) Absent ASI Hakam Singh (for the Respondent) 62399-82833

ORDER:

(To be read in continuity with earlier orders on **28.4.2022**)

- 1. The RTI application is dated **9.6.2021** vide which the appellant has sought information **regarding his application dated 15.4.2021** in **Four points**, as enumerated in his RTI application. First Appeal was filed with the First Appellate Authority (FAA) on **10.8.2021** and Second Appeal was filed in the Commission on **2.11.2021** under Section 19 of the Right to Information Act, 2005. The case was last heard on **28.4.2022**.
- 2. At the last hearing on 28.4.2022, the appellant was granted one final opportunity to be heard in person. There has since been no communication from him and nor has he deemed it fit to be heard in person at today's hearing.
- 3. In view of this, this Appeal Case is accordingly **Disposed of**.

Sd/(ASIT JOLLY)
State Information Commissioner, Punjab.

Red Cross Building, Near Rose Garden, Madhya Marg, Sector 16, Chandigarh. Ph: 0172-2864111, Visit us @ www.infocommpunjab.com, Email:psic21@punjabmail.gov.in



FINAL ORDER

Daizy Mohan, S/o Sh. Hukam Chand, 14248, St. No.2 A, Ganesh Nagar, Bathinda.

Versus

Public Information officer, o/o Senior Superintendent of Police, Patiala. First Appellate Authority, o/o Senior Superintendent of Police, Patiala.

Appeal Case No. 4483 of 2021 (Video Conference Proceedings)

PRESENT: (Appellant) Absent ASI Hakam Singh (for the Respondent) 62399-82833

ORDER:

(To be read in continuity with earlier orders on 28.4.2022)

- 1. The RTI application is dated 12.6.2021 vide which the appellant has sought information regarding complaints filed against Excise Department employees in Seven points, as enumerated in his RTI application. First Appeal was filed with the First Appellate Authority (FAA) on 16.7.2021 and Second Appeal was filed in the Commission on 1.10.2021 under Section 19 of the Right to Information Act, 2005. The case was last heard on 28.4.2022.
- 2. The appellant is absent without intimation despite the Commission's Order. The respondent PIO, represented by ASI Hakam Singh, has submitted a reply vide Letter No. 1102 Dated 8.5.2022, that the information is being sent to the appellant and the same is reiterated vide Letter No. 1976 Dated 11.8.2021, which includes the appellant's receiving.
- 3. In view of the above, this Appeal Case is accordingly **Disposed of**.

Sd/(ASIT JOLLY)
State Information Commissioner, Punjab.

Red Cross Building, Near Rose Garden, Madhya Marg, Sector 16, Chandigarh. Ph: 0172-2864111, Visit us @ www.infocommpunjab.com, Email:psic21@punjabmail.gov.in



FINAL ORDER

Prem Kumar Rattan, 78/8, Park Road, Navi Mandi, Dhuri, Sangrur.

Versus

Public Information officer, o/o Deputy Commissioner, Patiala. First Appellate Authority, Deputy Commissioner, Patiala.

Appeal Case No. 4912 of 2021 (Video Conference Proceedings)

PRESENT:

(Appellant) Absent Anju Rani, Junior Assistant (for the Respondent) 98559-84392

ORDER:

(To be read in continuity with earlier orders on 29.4.2022)

- 1. The RTI application is dated **4.8.2021** vide which the appellant has sought information regarding Mutation Nos. 10683 and 13066 by way of certified copies and whether these have been deposited in the record room or not, as enumerated in his RTI application. First Appeal was filed with the First Appellate Authority (FAA) on **3.9.2021** and Second Appeal was filed in the Commission on **27.10.2021** under Section 19 of the Right to Information Act, 2005. The case was last heard on **29.4.2022**.
- 2. The appellant is absent. At the last hearing on 29.4.2022, the respondent PIO was directed to submit a hard copy of the reply that was sent to the appellant, to the Commission. The PIO has complied. Vide the reply, the appellant was advised to deposit requisite fees with the office of Kanugo, Patiala to obtain certified copies of the mutations cited in his RTI application. The PIO's reply adequately addresses this RTI application.
- 3. This Appeal Case is herewith **Disposed of**.

Sd/(ASIT JOLLY)
State Information Commissioner, Punjab.

Red Cross Building, Near Rose Garden, Madhya Marg, Sector 16, Chandigarh. Ph: 0172-2864111, Visit us @ www.infocommpunjab.com, Email:psic21@punjabmail.gov.in



FINAL ORDER

Rajinder Kumar Sharma, VPO Raisar, Tehsil & District Barnala.

Versus

Public Information officer, o/o Divisional Forest Officer, Patiala. First Appellate Authority, o/o Conservator of Forests, South Circle, Patiala.

Appeal Case No. 5180 of 2021 (Video Conference Proceedings)

PRESENT: (Appellant) Absent (Respondent) Absent

ORDER:

(To be read in continuity with earlier orders on 28.4.2022)

- 1. The RTI application is dated **17.5.2021** vide which the appellant has sought information regarding works in Dhakarba and Gurdialpura blocks of the Samana Range, as enumerated in his RTI application. First Appeal was filed with the First Appellate Authority (FAA) on **27.9.2021** and Second Appeal was filed in the Commission on **16.11.2021** under Section 19 of the Right to Information Act, 2005. The case was last heard on **28.4.2022**.
- 2. Both parties are absent. At the last hearing on 28.4.2022, the respondent PIO was directed to arrange an inspection of the record by the appellant on 4.5.2022. In compliance, the respondent PIO has submitted a copy of the Letter No. 161 Dated 24.6.2022, whereby a total of 96 pages of documents were sent to the appellant. There has since been no communication from the appellant.
- 3. This Appeal Case is accordingly **Disposed of**.

Sd/(ASIT JOLLY)
State Information Commissioner, Punjab.

Red Cross Building, Near Rose Garden, Madhya Marg, Sector 16, Chandigarh. Ph: 0172-2864111, Visit us @ www.infocommpunjab.com, Email:psic21@punjabmail.gov.in



FINAL ORDER

Tarsem Chand, S/o Sh. Dhanna Lal, H.No.547/6, St. No. 4, Partap Colony, Samana, District Patiala.

Versus

Public Information officer, o/o EO, Municipal Council, Samana, District Patiala. First Appellate Authority, Additional Deputy Commissioner (UD), Patiala.

Appeal Case No. 4511 of 2021 (Video Conference Proceedings)

PRESENT:

Tarsem Chand (Appellant) 98141-20780 (Respondent) Absent

ORDER:

(To be read in continuity with earlier orders on **29.4.2022**)

- 1. The RTI application is dated **7.7.2021** vide which the appellant has sought information <u>regarding allotment of tenders</u>, as enumerated in his RTI application. First Appeal was filed with the First Appellate Authority (FAA) on **11.8.2021** and Second Appeal was filed in the Commission on **5.10.2021** under Section 19 of the Right to Information Act, 2005. The case was last heard on **29.4.2022**.
- 2. The respondent PIO is absent. As directed at the last hearing on 29.4.2022, the respondent PIO-cum-EO, MC Samana, has submitted a reply vide Letter No. 34 Dated 23.5.2022, along with the requested information. The appellant however, points out that the said information has not been attested as directed by the Commission.
- 3. In view of the above, the respondent PIO is directed to resubmit **duly attested copies** of the information to the appellant with a copy to this Commission. The respondent PIO is further, directed to submit a written reply to point no. 1 of the RTI application, wherein the appellant has sought details regarding the advertisement called for tenders for the work cited in the RTI application. This must be done within 15 days of receipt of this Order.
- 4. This Appeal Case is accordingly **Disposed of**.

Sd/(ASIT JOLLY)
State Information Commissioner, Punjab.

Red Cross Building, Near Rose Garden, Madhya Marg, Sector 16, Chandigarh. Ph: 0172-2864111, Visit us @ www.infocommpunjab.com, Email:psic21@punjabmail.gov.in



FINAL ORDER REGISTERED

Gurtej Singh Khattra, s/o Bakhshish Singh Khattra, Village Rohit Moura, PO Rohta, Tehsil Nabha, District Patiala.

Versus

Public Information officer, o/o EO Nagar Council, Nabha, District Patiala. First Appellate Authority, Additional Deputy Commissioner (UD), Patiala.

Appeal Case No. 4621 of 2021 (Video Conference Proceedings)

PRESENT:

Gurtej Singh Khattra (Appellant) 88724-74230 (Respondent) Absent

ORDER:

(To be read in continuity with earlier orders on **29.4.2022**)

- 1. The RTI application is dated **12.5.2021** vide which the appellant has sought information <u>regarding Kirat Medical Hall</u>, as enumerated in his RTI application. First Appeal was filed with the First Appellate Authority (FAA) on **21.6.2021** and Second Appeal was filed in the Commission on **11.10.2021** under Section 19 of the Right to Information Act, 2005. The case was last heard on **29.4.2022**.
- 2. The respondent PIO is absent for the second successive hearing. This is despite Show Cause Notice issued to him at the last hearing. Examination of the case file shows that this case was disposed of by the First Appellate Authority vide Letter No. 933 on 27.7.2021, after the respondent PIO made a submission at that hearing that the information has been sent to the appellant vide Letter No. 263 Dated 27.7.2021. The appellant however, states that he has received no information/reply from the respondent PIO.
- 3. The Commission taken a very serious note of the conduct of the respondent PIO-cum-EO, MC, Nabha and deems it fit to impose a penalty of Rs. 5000/- upon him / her.

Order: Keeping all the facts of the case in mind, this is a fit case to invoke Section 20 of RTI Act and impose a penalty on the respondent PIO Section 20(1), which reads as under:

Red Cross Building, Near Rose Garden, Madhya Marg, Sector 16, Chandigarh. Ph: 0172-2864111, Visit us @ www.infocommpunjab.com, Email:psic21@punjabmail.gov.in



Appeal Case No. 4621 of 2021 (Video Conference Proceedings)

"Where the Central Information Commission or the State Information Commission, as the case may be, at the time of deciding any complaint or appeal is of the opinion that the Central Public Information Officer or the State Public Information Officer, as the case may be, has, without any reasonable cause, refused to receive an application for information or has not furnished information within the time specified under sub Section (1) of Section 7 or malafidely denied the request for information or knowingly given incorrect, incomplete or misleading information or destroyed information which was the subject of the request or obstructed in any manner in furnishing the information, it shall impose a penalty of 250/- Rupees each day till application is received or information is furnished, so however, the total amount of such penalty shall not exceed Twenty Five Thousand Rupees;

Provided that the Central Public Officer or the State Public Information Officer, as the case may be, shall be given a reasonable opportunity of being heard before any penalty is imposed on him:

Provided further that the burden of proving that he acted reasonably and diligently shall be on the Central Information Officer, as the case may be."

The onus and responsibility lie on the concerned PIO to ensure the transmission of the complete information to the appellant. The <u>PIO-cum-EO, Municipal Council Nabha</u>, is hereby held guilty for not providing the information on time as prescribed under Section 7, which is within 30 days of the receipt of the request and for repeated and willful defiance of the Punjab State Information Commission's orders.

A penalty of <u>Rupees Five Thousand (Rs. 5000.00)</u> is hereby imposed upon the concerned <u>PIO-cum-EO</u>, <u>Municipal Council Nabha</u>, which be deducted from his salary and deposited in the Punjab Government Treasury under head "0070-Other Administrative Services-60-other Services-800-Other Receipts-86-Fees Under the Right to Information Act." The concerned <u>PIO-cum-EO</u>, <u>MC</u>, <u>Nabha</u>, is directed to duly inform the Commission of the compliance of the orders by producing a copy of the challan. Copies of this order be sent to the parties through registered post.

4. The respondent PIO is further directed to furnish all the information requested in this RTI application by way of duly attested copies along with a covering letter. This must be done in 21 days of receipt of this Order. The appeal is <u>disposed of</u> accordingly. Copy of this Order be conveyed to the Registry Branch for follow up on compliance.

Sd/-(ASIT JOLLY)

State Information Commissioner, Punjab.

Chandigarh 12.8.2022

Cc:

- 1. Additional Deputy Commissioner, UD, Patiala, for information and further necessary action:
- 2. Deputy Registrar, PSIC for follow up on compliance.

Red Cross Building, Near Rose Garden, Madhya Marg, Sector 16, Chandigarh. Ph: 0172-2864111, Visit us @ www.infocommpunjab.com, Email:psic21@punjabmail.gov.in



FINAL ORDER

Gurmej Lal,

1331-5, St. No. 5, Near Vishwakarma Bhawan, Down Canal Road, Shimlapuri, Ludhiana.

Versus

Public Information officer, o/o EO, Nagar Council,

Rajpura, District Patiala. **First Appellate Authority,** o/o EO, Nagar Council, Rajpura, District Patiala.

Appeal Case No. 5073 of 2021 (Video Conference Proceedings)

PRESENT:

(Appellant) Absent Dhiraj Sharma, Building Inspector (for the Respondent) 94171-30827

ORDER:

(To be read in continuity with earlier orders on 29.4.2022)

- 1. The RTI application is dated **31.7.2021** vide which the appellant has sought information regarding illegal buildings under the jurisdiction of Nagar Council Rajpura, as enumerated in his RTI application. First Appeal was filed with the First Appellate Authority (FAA) on **31.8.2021** and Second Appeal was filed in the Commission on **9.11.2021** under Section 19 of the Right to Information Act, 2005. The case was last heard on **28.4.2022**.
- 2. The appellant is absent without intimation. The respondent PIO, represented by Dhiraj Sharma, Building Inspector, submitted a copy of the reply sent to the appellant vide Letter No. 4188 Dated 4.4.2022, along with some 226 pages of information. There has since been no communication from the appellant.
- 3. In view of the above, this Appeal Case is accordingly **Disposed of**.

Sd/-(ASIT JOLLY)

State Information Commissioner, Punjab.

Red Cross Building, Near Rose Garden, Madhya Marg, Sector 16, Chandigarh. Ph: 0172-2864111, Visit us @ www.infocommpunjab.com, Email:psic21@punjabmail.gov.in



FINAL ORDER

Dr. (CA) Bindu Bhardwaj, W/o Sh. Amit Kumar Bhardwaj, # 606, GHS-5, Sector-24, Panchkula – 134 116, Haryana

Versus

Public Information Officer, o/o Municipal Corporation Patiala, Moti Bagh, Patiala-147001 First Appellate Authority, o/o Municipal Corporation Patiala, Moti Bagh, Patiala-147001

Appeal Case No. 3721 of 2021 (Video Conference Proceedings)

PRESENT:

(Appellant) Absent Manpreet Kaur, Building Inspector (for the Respondent) 89689-68144

ORDER:

(To be read in continuity with earlier orders on 2.3.2021 and 29.4.2022)

- 1. The RTI application is dated **26.4.2021** vide which the appellant has sought <u>information</u> regarding construction of <u>buildings on Khasra No.14/9/2/2(1-12), 12/1/2/1(0-1) behind Sagar Health Care Clinic etc.</u>, as enumerated in his RTI application. First Appeal was filed with the First Appellate Authority (FAA) on **23.6.2021**, and Second Appeal was filed in the Commission on **18.8.2021** under Section 19 of the Right to Information Act, 2005. The case was last heard on **29.4.2022**.
- 2. The appellant is absent without intimation. As directed at the last hearing on 29.4.2022, the respondent PIO has submitted a pointwise reply to the RTI application along with attested copies of all office notings and copy of the approved basement plan after redacting internal details vide Letter No. 336 Dated 12.5.2022. The appellant, in an email to the Commission Dated 26.5.2022, has raised some objections to the information given in respect to point no. 4 and 5 of the RTI application.
- 3. The Commission has examined the respondent PIO's reply alongside RTI application and is of the view that this adequately addresses the queries raised in the RTI application. This Appeal Case is accordingly **Disposed of**.

Sd/(ASIT JOLLY)
State Information Commissioner, Punjab.

Red Cross Building, Near Rose Garden, Madhya Marg, Sector 16, Chandigarh. Ph: 0172-2864111, Visit us @ www.infocommpunjab.com, Email:psic21@punjabmail.gov.in



FINAL ORDER

Mahinder Kumar Arora, #12/3, Guru Nanak Nagar, Tripuri, Patiala.

Versus

Public Information officer, o/o Municipal Corporation, Patiala. First Appellate Authority, o/o Commissioner, Municipal Corporation, Patiala

Appeal Case No. 4787 of 2021 (Video Conference Proceedings)

PRESENT: (Appellant) Absent Manpreet Kaur, Building Inspector (for the Respondent) 89689-68144

ORDER:

(To be read in continuity with earlier orders on **28.4.2022**)

- 1. The RTI application is dated 21.5.2021 vide which the appellant has sought information regarding the action taken on complaints dated 23.3.2021, 7.3.2021 and 13.5.2021 addressed to the Chief Minister, Chief Secretary, Secretary Local Bodies etc., as enumerated in his RTI application. First Appeal was filed with the First Appellate Authority (FAA) on 6.7.2021 and Second Appeal was filed in the Commission on 21.10.2021 under Section 19 of the Right to Information Act, 2005. The case was last heard on 28.4.2022.
- 2. The appellant is absent but has informed the Commission via email o 10.8.2022, that he has received the requested information. The respondent PIO, represented by Manpreet Kaur, Building Inspector has also submitted a copy of a receipt signed by the appellant vide Letter No. 631 Dated 2.11.2021.
- 3. This Appeal Case is accordingly **Disposed of**.

Sd/(ASIT JOLLY)
State Information Commissioner, Punjab.

Red Cross Building, Near Rose Garden, Madhya Marg, Sector 16, Chandigarh. Ph: 0172-2864111, Visit us @ www.infocommpunjab.com, Email:psic21@punjabmail.gov.in



FINAL ORDER

Dr. Ranjit Singh, H.No. 491,Phase-2,Urban Estate, PUDA, Patiala.

Versus

Public Information officer, o/o Municipal Corporation, Patiala. First Appellate Authority, Municipal Corporation, Patiala.

Appeal Case No. 4901 of 2021 (Video Conference Proceedings)

PRESENT: (Appellant) Absent Manpreet Kaur, Building Inspector (for the Respondent) 89689-68144

ORDER:

(To be read in continuity with earlier orders on **29.4.2022**)

- 1. The RTI application is dated 15.7.2021 vide which the appellant has sought information regarding buildings, shops, langer hall building, and Gurdwara Sahib, Dera Tikana Bhai Ram Krishan-ji at Sheranwala Gate etc., as enumerated in his RTI application. First Appeal was filed with the First Appellate Authority (FAA) on 13.9.2021 and Second Appeal was filed in the Commission on 27.10.2021 under Section 19 of the Right to Information Act, 2005. The case was last heard on 29.4.2022.
- 2. The appellant is absent. The respondent PIO, represented by Manpreet Kaur, Building Inspector, has submitted copy of the reply sent to the appellant vide Letter No. 321/BLD Dated 6.5.2022, vide which he was informed that the information requested in the RTI application is not available in the records. Said reply was received in person by the appellant.
- 3. In view of the above, this Appeal Case is accordingly **Disposed of**.

Sd/(ASIT JOLLY)
State Information Commissioner, Punjab.

Red Cross Building, Near Rose Garden, Madhya Marg, Sector 16, Chandigarh. Ph: 0172-2864111, Visit us @ www.infocommpunjab.com, Email:psic21@punjabmail.gov.in



FINAL ORDER

Naveen Kumar, 12/3, Guru Nanak Nagar, Tripuri, Patiala.

Versus

Public Information officer, o/o Municipal Corporation, Patiala. First Appellate Authority, o/o Commissioner, Municipal Corporation, Patiala.

Appeal Case No. 5100 of 2021 (Video Conference Proceedings)

PRESENT: (Appellant) Absent Manpreet Kaur, Building Inspector (for the Respondent) 89689-68144

ORDER:

(To be read in continuity with earlier orders on 28.4.2022)

- 1. The RTI application is dated **25.11.2020** vide which the appellant has sought information regarding the PVR Mall on Rajpura Road in Ten points, as enumerated in his RTI application. First Appeal was filed with the First Appellate Authority (FAA) on **3.5.2021** and Second Appeal was filed in the Commission on **9.11.2021** under Section 19 of the Right to Information Act, 2005. The case was last heard on **28.4.2022**.
- 2. The appellant is absent. The respondent PIO, as directed at the last hearing on 28.4.2022, has submitted a written pointwise reply to the RTI application. The Commission has examined the respondent PIO's reply alongside RTI application and is of the view that this adequately addresses the queries raised by the appellant.
- 3. This Appeal Case is accordingly **Disposed of**.

Sd/(ASIT JOLLY)
State Information Commissioner, Punjab.

Red Cross Building, Near Rose Garden, Madhya Marg, Sector 16, Chandigarh. Ph: 0172-2864111, Visit us @ www.infocommpunjab.com, Email:psic21@punjabmail.gov.in



FINAL ORDER

Tejinder Singh (Advocate), Civil Court, Tehsil Complex, Backside Sanjh Kender, Phillaur – 144 410.

Versus

Public Information officer, o/o Sub Registrar, Nabha. First Appellate Authority, Chief Engineer (North/South), Sub Divisional Magistrate, Nabha, Patiala.

Appeal Case No. 4692 of 2021 (Video Conference Proceedings)

PRESENT:

(Appellant) Absent Rupinder Singh, Junior Assistant (for the Respondent) 84277-70088

ORDER:

(To be read in continuity with earlier orders on 29.4.2022)

- 1. The RTI application is dated **4.5.2021** vide which the appellant has sought information regarding vasikas certified from January 2021 to 4.5.2021 in Nine points, as enumerated in his RTI application. First Appeal was filed with the First Appellate Authority (FAA) on **5.6.2021** and Second Appeal was filed in the Commission on **12.10.2021** under Section 19 of the Right to Information Act, 2005. The case was last heard on **29.4.2022**.
- 2. The appellant is absent without intimation for the second successive hearing. The respondent PIO, represented by Rupinder Singh, Junior Assistant, states that a reply has been sent in compliance with this Commission's Order vide Letter Dated 10.8.2022, wherein the original reply vide Letter No. 182 Dated 5.7.2021, has been appended. The Commission has examined Letter No. 182 Dated 5.7.2021, and is of the view that this adequately addresses the RTI application.
- 3. This Appeal Case is accordingly **Disposed of**.

Sd/(ASIT JOLLY)
State Information Commissioner, Punjab.

Red Cross Building, Near Rose Garden, Madhya Marg, Sector 16, Chandigarh. Ph: 0172-2864111, Visit us @ www.infocommpunjab.com, Email:psic21@punjabmail.gov.in



FINAL ORDER

Tejinder Singh (Advocate), Civil Court, Tehsil Complex, Backside Sanjh Kender, Phillaur – 144 410.

Versus

Public Information officer, o/o Sub Registrar, Rajpura, Patiala. First Appellate Authority, Chief Engineer (North/South), Sub Divisional Magistrate, Rajpura, Patiala.

Appeal Case No. 4854 of 2021 (Video Conference Proceedings)

PRESENT: (Appellant) Absent (Respondent) Absent

ORDER:

(To be read in continuity with earlier orders on 29.4.2022)

- 1. The RTI application is dated **4.4.2021** vide which the appellant has sought information <u>regarding vasikas certified from January 2021 to 4.5.2021 in Nine points</u>, as enumerated in his RTI application. First Appeal was filed with the First Appellate Authority (FAA) on **5.6.2021** and Second Appeal was filed in the Commission on **22.10.2021** under Section 19 of the Right to Information Act, 2005. The case was last heard on **29.4.2022**.
- 2. Both parties are absent. At the last hearing on 29.4.2022, the appellant was advised to examine the respondent PIO's reply, sent vide Letter No. 3890 Dated 4.4.2022, for any deficiency. There is since being no communication from the appellant.
- 3. In view of the above, this Appeal Case is accordingly **Disposed of**.

Sd/(ASIT JOLLY)
State Information Commissioner, Punjab.